



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/961,237 09/20/2001 Lyle Joseph Chamberlain TUC920010065US1 4066 502.64US0 EXAMINER 7590 06/05/2006 David W. Lynch DESIRE, GREGORY M Crawford Maunu PLLC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390 Mendota Heights, MN 55120

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,237	CHAMBERLAIN, LYLE JOSEPH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory M. Desire	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frutte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	March 2006.	
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 19-24 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-12 and 14-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2001 i Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to appeal brief filed 3/03/06.

### Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments see section VII in the appeal brief, filed 3/3/06, with respect to claims 1, 5-6, 19 and 23-24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The art rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see section VII, filed 3/3/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7 and 13 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Karidi et al.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the thresholded image" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

7. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the thresholded image" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karidi et al (6,634,553).

Regarding method and article of manufacture claims 7 and 13 Karidi discloses,

Illuminating an object with an illumination source (note col. 13 lines 50-55);

Gathering image data for the illuminated object (note fig. 3 block 301, scanner gather image data based on illuminated object); and

Processing the image data by using bounding boxes (note fig. 1A, 3) to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the thresholded image (note col. 5)

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-6 and 19-24 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2624

11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for independent claims 1 and 19. The claims specific define features of a cartridge. The prior art fails to discloses using bounding box to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the threshold image. These features in an image tape cartridge picker system are not taught in the prior art. Claims 2-6 and 20-24 depend on claims 1 and 19, respectively. Therefore are also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 12. Claims 8-12 and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claims 8 and 14. The prior art fails to teach the specific limitation of the processor locating bounding box as claimed. These features, in combination with other limitations, are not taught in the prior art. Claims 9-10 and 15-16 depend on claims 8 and 14. Therefore are also objected.

Regarding claims 11 and 17, prior art fails describe physical feature claimed within a tape library system. Claims 12 and 18 depend on claims 11 and 17, respectively, therefore are also objected.

Art Unit: 2624

#### Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory M. Desire Examiner Art Unit 2624

G.D. May 30, 2006 Digory Desio